

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM
TERRITORY OF GUAM
CRIMINAL MINUTES

FILED
DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

JUL 29 2005

MARY L.M. MORAN
CLERK OF COURT

CASE NO. CR-05-00039-008

DATE: 07/29/2005

TIME: 11:43 a.m.

HON. FRANCES M. TYDINGCO-GATEWOOD, Designated Judge

Law Clerk: Kim Walmsley

Court Reporter: Wanda M. Miles

Courtroom Deputy: Leilani Toves Hernandez

Hearing Electronically Recorded: 11:43:08 - 12:03:20

CSO: F. Tenorio

***** APPEARANCES *****

DEFT: ANNETTE JOYCE GONZALEZ aka

ATTY : DAVID RIVERA

ANNETTE ROMERO

(X) PRESENT () RETAINED () FPD (X) CJA APPOINTED

(X) PRESENT () CUSTODY () BOND () P.R.

U.S. ATTORNEY: MARIVIC DAVID

AGENT: JOHN DUENAS, IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS
ENFORCEMENT

U.S. PROBATION: CARLEEN BORJA

U.S. MARSHAL: R. LUMAGUI / F. TAITAGUE

PROCEEDINGS: INITIAL APPEARANCE RE INDICTMENT / ARRAIGNMENT

(X) FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT REVIEWED AND ACCEPTED: DAVID RIVERA, ATTORNEY APPOINTED

(X) DEFENDANT SWORN AND EXAMINED: AGE: 41 SCHOOL COMPLETED: 11TH Grade

(X) DEFENDANT ARRAIGNED AND ADVISED OF HER RIGHTS, CHARGES AND PENALTIES

(X) COURT QUESTIONS DEFENDANT REGARDING HER PHYSICAL AND MENTAL CONDITION

(X) DEFENDANT WAIVE READING OF () COMPLAINT () INFORMATION (X) INDICTMENT

(X) PLEA ENTERED: () GUILTY (X) NOT GUILTY - TO: COUNTS I, II, AND IV

() COUNT(S) _____ DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S MOTION

() PLEA AGREEMENT FILED: _____ PLEA: () ACCEPTED () REJECTED

() COURT ORDERS PLEA AGREEMENT SEALED

() COURT REJECTS PLEA AGREEMENT AND REFERS IT TO: _____ FOR RECOMMENDATION.

(X) TRIAL SET FOR: AUGUST 30, 2005 at 9:00 A.M.

PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO: _____ at _____

() DEFENDANT TO BE RELEASED ON BOND (see release conditions on page 2)

(X) DEFENDANT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE FOR () PROCESSING (X) DETENTION

() DETENTION HEARING REQUESTED BY _ GOV'T _ DEFT IS SET FOR: _____ at _____

() DEFENDANT RELEASED ON BAIL AS PREVIOUSLY ORDERED BY THIS COURT

NOTES:

The Court stated that the trial date is set for August 30, 2005.

The Court advised the defendant of the charges against her. Government recommended detention. Defense argued for release and stated his reasons. The Probation Officer recommended detention and stated her basis for the recommendation.

Accordingly, the Court remanded the defendant to the custody of the U.S. Marshal Service.